

Remarks

This Preliminary Amendment cancels without prejudice original claims 1 to 7 in the underlying PCT Application No. PCT/DE03/03303. This Preliminary Amendment adds new claims 8-15. The new claims, *inter alia*, conform the claims to United States Patent and Trademark Office rules and do not add new matter to the application

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.125(b), the Substitute Specification (including the Abstract, but without the claims) contains no new matter. The amendments reflected in the Substitute Specification (including Abstract) are to conform the Specification and Abstract to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office rules or to correct informalities. As required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(3)(ii) and § 1.125(c), a Marked Up Version Of The Substitute Specification comparing the Specification of record and the Substitute Specification also accompanies this Preliminary Amendment. Approval and entry of the Substitute Specification (including Abstract) are respectfully requested.

The underlying PCT Application No. PCT/DE03/03303 includes an International Search Report, dated April 1, 2004, a copy of which is included. The Search Report includes a list of documents that were considered by the Examiner in the underlying PCT application.

Applicants assert that the subject matter of the present application is new, non-obvious, and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

KENYON & KENYON

Dated: 7/22/05

By: Jong Lee for Richard Mayer
Richard L. Mayer
(Reg. No. 22,490)

One Broadway
New York, NY 10004
(212) 425-7200

CUSTOMER NO. 26646

METHOD FOR GENERATING A TRIGGERING DECISION FOR A RESTRAINT SYSTEM

Field of the Invention

The present invention ~~is based on~~ relates to a method for generating a triggering decision for a restraint system ~~according to the species defined in the independent claim.~~

Background Information

5 A method for generating a triggering decision for a restraint system, particularly ~~e.g.,~~ for soil trips, ~~already emerges from~~ ~~are described in~~ the applicant's ~~not pre-published~~ German patent application DE 101 49 112. Soil trips are situations in which the vehicle, following a skidding event, slips sideways and then ends up on ground that has a high coefficient of friction, for example the unpaved ground alongside a roadway. If the vehicle then slips to the
10 right, for example, as represented in Figure 1, namely vehicle 1a on roadway 2, the tires on the right side will experience a strong deceleration at point 1b, which will then induce a torque of the vehicle on the unpaved ground 3. At time 1c, the vehicle is already fully engaged in a rollover event. In German patent application DE 10149 112, the triggering decision is determined as a function of vehicle dynamics data, a sideslip angle in conjunction
15 with a vehicle lateral velocity and a vehicle tilting motion being used as vehicle dynamics data. The triggering decision is then generated by suitable threshold value comparisons.

Published international patent application WO 99/47384-A1 describes the generation of the triggering decision in the case of a soil trip rollover as a function of the rate of rotation about the longitudinal axis of the vehicle, a vehicle velocity and a vehicle lateral acceleration. In
20 the process, the vehicle lateral acceleration is compared to a fixed threshold value. Only when this fixed threshold value is exceeded can a triggering decision be reached.

Summary of the Invention Summary

By contrast, the method according to the present invention for generating a triggering decision for a restraint system ~~having the features of the independent claim~~ has the advantage
25 that it allows for an earlier triggering in the case of soil trips. This is due to the fact that not only the vehicle lateral acceleration is conjoined with the rate of rotation, but that the vehicle lateral acceleration is additionally compared to a threshold value, which is set as a function of at least one component of the velocity of the vehicle's center of gravity. The adjustment of

the threshold value results in an improved adaptation to the accident behavior. The adaptation can occur continuously or in longer time intervals. This threshold value decision is to be understood in such a way that a pair of values made up of the vehicle lateral acceleration and preferably the vehicle lateral velocity is compared to a characteristic curve.

5 Other components of the velocity of the vehicle's center of gravity may be used as well, however, to obtain an estimate of the lateral velocity of the vehicle. Even the vehicle longitudinal velocity may be used here, since, for example, in a skidding event the velocity of the center of gravity remains approximately constant in the first phase of skidding, but is transformed from a longitudinal velocity into a lateral velocity. Thus signals are used, which

10 are ascertained by a kinematic sensory system. The present invention is described below in light of the vehicle lateral velocity.

Analyses of soil trip vehicle tests have shown that the vehicle's lateral velocity has a decisive influence on the maximum roll angles reached and thus on the rollover behavior of a vehicle. For generating a triggering decision, it is ~~in any event~~ advantageous to ascertain the signals of

15 a rate-of-rotation sensor for rotations about the longitudinal axis of the vehicle and to conjoin them with those of an acceleration sensor in the lateral direction of the vehicle. This results in increased safety with the possibility of earlier triggering. The vehicle lateral acceleration is suitable, since, as shown above, in the case of a soil trip, there is a lateral deceleration that initiates the rollover event.

20 It is furthermore possible to use the vehicle lateral velocity as well as the vehicle lateral acceleration ~~as well as~~and the rate of rotation around the longitudinal axis of the vehicle so as to provide for a high degree of safety for the triggering decision and at the same time for a very early triggering decision.

~~Advantageous improvements of the method for generating a triggering decision for a restraint system described in the independent claim are made possible by the measures and refinements specified in the dependent claims.~~

It is especially advantageous that the conjunction of the vehicle lateral velocity and the vehicle lateral acceleration and possibly the rate of rotation around the longitudinal axis of the vehicle is implemented in such a way that the vehicle lateral acceleration and the rate of

30 rotation are compared to pairs of values for generating the triggering decision. These pairs of values are generated as a function of the vehicle lateral velocity as a parameter. It can now be advantageously provided that the pairs of values as a function of the vehicle lateral velocity are already stored, for example as a characteristic curve, in the vehicle in a suitable memory unit and are then loaded for comparison. If, using the vehicle dynamics data, a vehicle lateral velocity is determined, which lies outside of the range covered by the characteristic curve in

35

the memory, this can be compensated by a suitable extrapolation of the existing characteristic curves.

- The characteristic curve may be provided as continuous or it may also be provided as a set of pairs of values between which, if necessary, an interpolation is made, the concept of the set being regarded as the more general concept. It is advantageously provided to compare the vehicle lateral velocity to a specified threshold, which is a function of the chosen vehicle type and which must be exceeded for a rollover event to occur in the first place. If the vehicle lateral velocity lies below this threshold, the triggering decision is always negative, i.e., triggering does not occur.
- 10 The set of pairs of values is advantageously influenced as a function of the precision of the estimate for the vehicle lateral velocity. That is to say, if the velocity estimate has an imprecision of 10 km/h, then it is necessary for a safe estimation and further processing for generating the triggering decision to ~~choose~~ adopt the lower limit of a confidence interval around the velocity estimate in order to prevent a false triggering or a premature triggering.
- 15 In the case of a relative, i.e., non-absolute, precision of the velocity estimate of, e.g., 10%, a further possibility is to scale the value for the vehicle lateral velocity.

For generating the triggering decision, the rate of rotation can be subjected to a threshold value comparison, the threshold value being fixed or set as a function of the at least one component of the velocity of the vehicle's center of gravity.

20 Brief Description of the Drawing

~~Exemplary embodiments of the present invention are depicted in the drawing and will be explained in greater detail in the following description.~~

~~The figures show:~~ Brief Description of the Drawings

- Fig. 1 illustrates a so-called soil trip,
- 25 Fig. 2 shows a block diagram of a device according to the present invention,

Fig. 3 shows a block diagram of an implementation of a first method according to the present invention,

Fig. 4 shows a block diagram of an implementation of a second method according to the present invention,

Fig. 5 shows a block diagram of an implementation of a third method according to the present invention,

Fig. 6 shows an acceleration-velocity diagram for generating the triggering decision,

Fig. 7 shows a furthersecond acceleration-velocity diagram,

5 Fig. 8 shows a third acceleration-velocity diagram AND,

Fig. 9 shows a fourth acceleration-velocity diagram.

Description Detailed Description

Modern systems for sensing rollover events work with micromechanical rate-of-rotation sensors, which also allow for the calculation of the angle of rotation via a numerical

10 integration. The combination of rate-of-rotation and rotation-angle information allows for the prediction of the rollover and thus for a triggering decision, which is more robust and more flexible than triggering via a fixed angle threshold of a tilt sensor. Rollover sensing systems based on rate-of-rotation sensors thus also allow for the triggering of irreversible restraint devices, such as pyrotechnical belt tensioners and window bags, in addition to the original 15 applications of rollover sensing systems, e.g., the triggering of a reversible roll bar in a cabriolet. A classical rollover event is induced by the fact that, due to the condition of the surroundings, a movement in the z direction, i.e., in the vertical direction, is imposed on the vehicle, which causes the vehicle to rotate. Typical examples for such situations are steep slopes alongside the roadway as well as ramps, which in practice are lateral guard rails. In 20 such maneuvers, the lateral accelerations are relatively low and the occupants enter a so-called “out-of-position” situation only at a late stage, if at all, so that the triggering of the passenger protection systems are necessary only at a relatively late point in time. In this context, an “out-of-position” situation means that an occupant is not in the appropriate sitting position for receiving optimum protection by restraint devices.

25 Things look differentlydifferent in the case of so-called soil trip rollovers. These are situations in which, following a skidding event, the vehicle slips sideways and then ends up on ground that has a high coefficient of friction, for example an unpaved ground alongside a roadway as shown in Figure 1. If the vehicle slips to the right, as shown in the figure, then the tires on the right side will experience a strong deceleration, which then causes a rotational

momentum in the vehicle. The decisive difference compared to the rollover events previously described is the fact that the occupants will end up "out of position" very early on due to the high lateral deceleration. Thus it is necessary to protect the occupants very early on against injuries from striking the center pillar or the window pane by activating the 5 appropriate protective devices, for example window bags. Such an early triggering is not possible using ~~current conventional~~ systems without the danger of unintentionally firing the restraint means in many ~~non-triggering cases~~ ~~not requiring triggering~~. The present invention presents a method that makes an early triggering time possible in the event of soil trips.

To make such an early triggering possible in the case of soil trips, the present invention uses, 10 in addition to the variables of rate of rotation and acceleration in the y and z directions, a suitably ascertained vehicle velocity in the y direction, i.e., the vehicle lateral velocity. Other components of the velocity of the vehicle's center of gravity ~~are also possible~~ may also be used, however, which can be used as approximate values for the vehicle lateral velocity.

Analyses of soil trip vehicle tests have shown that the vehicle lateral velocity has a decisive 15 influence on the maximum roll angle reached and thus on the rollover behavior of a vehicle in soil trip events. In this context, the vehicle lateral velocity is ascertained using data from a vehicle dynamics system such as ESP, for example. There are also other possibilities, however, for ascertaining the vehicle lateral velocity.

According to the present invention, the triggering decision is generated in such a way that, in 20 addition to conjoining the rate of rotation and the vehicle lateral acceleration, the vehicle lateral acceleration is subjected to a threshold value decision, the threshold value being set as a function of at least one component of the velocity of the vehicle's center of gravity. The vehicle lateral velocity is ~~preferably~~ may be used for this purpose.

The suitably filtered acceleration in vehicle lateral direction a_y is especially suitable for the 25 threshold value decision since a lateral acceleration beginning on the tire initiates the rollover event. As vehicle tests have confirmed, with decreasing vehicle lateral velocity v_y , the lateral acceleration a_y must increase to cause a vehicle to roll over. The connection in this respect is normally not linear and is taken into consideration by the threshold decision. Rather, as a function of the vehicle lateral velocity, the larger the gradient of the critical lateral acceleration, i.e., the lateral acceleration that results in a rollover, ~~is all the larger~~, the more 30 the vehicle lateral velocity approximates the so-called "critical sliding velocity (CSV)" of

higher velocities. The CSV is defined as the lateral velocity of the vehicle, below which a rollover of the vehicle is impossible due to fundamental physical principles, that is, the energy balance. The precise shape of the characteristic curve depends on the vehicle type and the demands on the system. In the following examples, however, it is always assumed that
5 the characteristic curve, that is, the absolute value of the critical lateral acceleration as a function of the vehicle lateral velocity, increases monotonically for decreasing values of v_y .

In addition to a_y , the suitably filtered rate of rotation about the vehicle's longitudinal axis $\omega\omega_x$ is also suitable for the threshold value decision, which is here used as conjunction. To be sure, the use of $\omega\omega_x$ is less intuitive, since it is a lateral deceleration that initiates the soil trip
10 event. Analyses of respective vehicle tests have shown, however, that, with suitable filtering, $\omega\omega_x$ as well as a_y are suitable as variables for a triggering threshold.

If one initially starts from a continuous, approximately exact determination of the vehicle lateral velocity v_y , then, following the fulfillment of a start condition for the algorithm, the sensed values for a_y , $\omega\omega_x$ and v_y are compared continuously, that is, in every loop of the
15 algorithm, to the critical values stored in the form of a characteristic curve. If at a time t , the value pair (a_y, v_y) lies above the critical value of the characteristic curve, then the main triggering condition is fulfilled. Additionally, it must be ensured that the lateral acceleration actually does induce a rotation. This will be discussed farther below. In addition to a_y , $\omega\omega_x$ can also be compared to a threshold value set as a function of v_y , or the threshold value that is
20 a function of v_y can be modified as a function of $\omega\omega_x$.

In the following it is always assumed that a_y is negative, that is, a deceleration, and that v_y as well as the rate of rotation $\omega\omega_x$ are positive. If one assumes that a_y is determined by a sensor in the airbag control unit, then the sign will depend on whether the soil trip comes about by slipping laterally to the left or to the right. In the same way, the sign of v_y depends on the
25 convention used in ascertaining v_y . The following method lends itself to the implementation in the microcontroller, that is, in the processor in the airbag control unit:

The values are established for all variables v_y , a_y and $\omega\omega_x$. In addition, a sign check ensures that, as a condition for a rollover event, v_y , a_y and $\omega\omega_x$ all point in the same direction.

The lateral acceleration that causes the vehicle to tilt is essentially determined by the position
30 of the center of gravity and the track width of a vehicle and is computationally captured by

the static stability factor (SSF). For passenger cars and SUVs (sports utility vehicle), typical values lie in the range of approx. $SSF = 1.0$ to 1.7 . The SSF corresponds to the lateral acceleration in units of g that are necessary for rolling over the vehicle. As the lowest triggering threshold, the characteristic curve for $|a_y|$ with respect to v_y will thus always have a value, which, for the respective vehicle, will lie above the SSF value in g . Depending on the ground below, however, it is also possible that a high acceleration builds up on all tires, that is, not only on the right or left tires when laterally slipping to the right or to the left, but no sufficiently high torque is induced for the vehicle to roll over. If for the triggering decision one relies exclusively on the exceedance of a threshold value for $|a_y|$ as a function of v_y , then in the most unfavorable case this can result in triggering when there is a strong vehicle lateral acceleration without a significant buildup of a tilting angle. To suppress triggering in such cases, it is advantageous to ~~attach~~require an additional triggering condition to the rate-of-rotation signal. The following methods lend themselves as a possible implementation of the additional consideration of the rate-of-rotation signal:

15 a) as an additional triggering condition, a threshold of the suitably filtered rate of rotation must be exceeded; ;

 b) as an additional triggering condition, a threshold of the integrated rate of rotation, that is, the built-up angle, must be exceeded, the start of the integration being advantageously tied to the exceedance of a threshold value of the rate of rotation; ;

20 c) furthermore, the start of an integration of a rate of rotation can be tied to the exceedance of a threshold value of the vehicle lateral acceleration. In this case, the rate of rotation will only be integrated when the suitably filtered vehicle lateral acceleration lies above a defined value. As an additional triggering condition, it is then necessary that the resulting integral, which has the dimension of an angle, exceeds a threshold value.

25 The tasks just described do not arise if one regards a triggering threshold for ω_x as a function of v_y . Even in driving maneuvers, however, that are not soil trip relevant, for example in tight and fast cornering, it is under certain circumstances also possible for very high rates of rotation to build up, which could then possibly result in false triggerings. In this case, it is thus advantageous additionally to introduce a threshold based on the sensory signal

30 of the vehicle lateral acceleration. In analogy to the previously described additional

triggering conditions on the basis of the rate-of-rotation signal, the following examples of an implementation will be described:

a) as an additional triggering condition, a threshold of the suitably filtered vehicle lateral acceleration must be exceeded;

5 b) as an additional triggering condition, a threshold of the integrated vehicle lateral acceleration, that is, the velocity reduction, must be exceeded, the start of the integration being advantageously tied to the exceedance of a threshold value of the vehicle lateral acceleration;

10 c) furthermore, the start of an integration of the vehicle lateral acceleration can be tied to the exceedance of a threshold value of the rate of rotation: in. In this case, the vehicle lateral acceleration will only be integrated when the suitably filtered rate of rotation lies above a defined value. As an additional triggering condition, it is then necessary that the resulting integral, which has the dimension of a velocity, exceeds a threshold value.

Thus, for generating a triggering decision, it is in any event advantageous to conjoin the
15 signals of a rate-of-rotation sensor and of an acceleration sensor. So far methods have been described in which a main triggering decision is made on the basis of a characteristic curve for a_y and $\omega\omega_x$ and then an additional weaker triggering condition bases plausibilization on the behavior of $\omega\omega_x$ or a_y . Of course, a balanced triggering decision of a_y and $\omega\omega_x$ is possible as well, i.e., that characteristic curves are defined for a_y as well as for $\omega\omega_y$, whose triggering
20 decisions are suitably conjoined, for example by a simple logical AND. In addition, a_y and $\omega\omega_x$ may be suitably processed (e.g., filtered and integrated) and conjoined.

Figure 2 shows a block diagram of the device according to the present invention. A processor, a microcontroller or a microprocessor $\mu\mu C$, which is located in a control unit for restraint means, is connected via a data input/output to a memory Mem, in which threshold
25 values and families of characteristics are stored. Sensor data come from a sensor for vehicle lateral acceleration a_y and a rate-of-rotation sensor $\omega\omega_x$. Alternatively, it is possible to determine the rate of rotation with the aid of a number of acceleration sensors. The individual sensors normally feature their own electronics for amplifying and digitizing the measured values. The values for vehicle lateral velocity v_y come from an additional sensor or
30 are computed by an external unit, for example ESP, or raw data are provided by an external

unit, from which the vehicle lateral velocity is estimated using an appropriate algorithm in processor $\mu\mu C$. Processor $\mu\mu C$ is connected to restraint means RS, for example airbags, belt tensioners or occupant safety systems such as a rollover bar. Restraint means RS are triggered in the event of a corresponding decision of the algorithm running in processor $\mu\mu C$,

5 it being possible to use additionally a passenger-compartment sensing system IS to adapt the triggering behavior accordingly. This includes, for example, the suppression of triggering for the belt tensioner or the window bag of the front passenger seat when it is not occupied. Weight sensors or imaging sensors may be used as means for passenger-compartment sensing.

10 Figure 3 shows a block diagram of a preferred interpretation of an example circuit arrangement for implementing the method according to the present invention. In the main circuit on top, the value for vehicle lateral acceleration a_y derived from the relevant sensor data as well as an estimated quantity for vehicle lateral velocity v_y are compared to a characteristic curve KL. The resulting triggering decision can be held by a holding element HG1 in order to optimize the correlation with the plausibility circuit below. In the plausibility circuit, a plausibility decision is made from a_y and the suitably preprocessed sensor signal for rate of rotation $\omega\omega_x$ by a suitable calculation (e.g. filtering and integration) and conjunction VK. This can be held by a holding element HG2. The decisions output by holding elements HG1 and HG2 (Yes for a positive triggering or plausibility decision) are

15 conjoined in the element & by a logical AND, and the resulting decision (triggering Yes/No) is transmitted to the associated restraint means.

20 Figure 4 shows another block diagram of a further preferred interpretation of example circuit arrangement for implementing the method according to the present invention. In the main circuit at the bottom, the value for rate of rotation $\omega\omega_x$ derived from the relevant sensor data as well as an estimated quantity for vehicle lateral velocity v_y are compared to a characteristic curve KL. The resulting triggering decision can be held by a holding element HG2 in order to optimize the correlation with the plausibility circuit above. In the plausibility circuit, a plausibility decision is made from $\omega\omega_x$ and the suitably preprocessed sensor signal for the vehicle lateral acceleration a_y by a suitable calculation (e.g. filtering and integration) and

25 conjunction VK. This can be held by a holding element HG1. The decisions output by holding elements HG1 and HG2 are conjoined in the element & by a logical AND, and the resulting decision (triggering Yes/No) is transmitted to the associated restraint means.

Figure 5 shows a block diagram of another preferred interpretation of example circuit arrangement for implementing the method according to the present invention having two main circuits above and below. The main circuits correspond to the main circuits in Figures 3 and 4. Likewise, in analogy to Figures 3 and 4, a plausibility circuit may be used which 5 makes a plausibility decision from $\omega\omega_x$ and a_y by suitable calculation (e.g. filtering and integration) and conjunction VK. The decisions output by holding elements HG1, HG2 and HG3 are conjoined in the element & by a logical AND, and the resulting decision is transmitted to the associated restraint means. In place of the two characteristic curves KL1 and KL2, it is also possible to specify a characteristic curve which then calculates a critical 10 value of a_y as a function of v_y and $\omega\omega_x$ or a critical value of $\omega\omega_x$ as a function of v_y and a_y .

Figure 6 shows a characteristic curve for the absolute value of the lateral acceleration a_y as a function of the vehicle lateral velocity v_y , which is initially assumed to be known with sufficient precision and to be continuous. Below the so-called critical sliding velocity (CSV), triggering is generally prevented. If v_y is greater than CSV, however, then the characteristic 15 curve establishes the separation between triggering and non-triggering, it being assumed that v_y is for all intents and purposes exactly known. The CSV is represented by line 4 running parallel to the ordinate, that is, to the vehicle lateral acceleration axis. Characteristic curve 5 represents the threshold for vehicle lateral acceleration a_y , which must be exceeded to bring about a triggering decision. A threshold for the rate of rotation about the longitudinal axis 20 $\omega\omega_x$ is generated in an equivalent manner.

Figure 7 shows the more general case of a lateral velocity that is ascertained only approximately and/or non-continuously. In an acceleration-velocity diagram, suitable characteristic curves for a_y (or $\omega\omega_x$ in an equivalent manner) are used as a function of the lateral velocity reduction Δv_y and not v_y . Δv_y is ascertained by integration from the integral of 25 vehicle lateral acceleration a_y measured in the vehicle. This is advantageous in order to compensate for the respective current deviation of the estimate value between two estimates in a non-continuous estimate of the lateral vehicle velocity. If necessary, the integration may be corrected by the effects of the gravitational acceleration in case of a tilting of the vehicle coordinate system vis-à-vis the earth-fixed coordinate system. In order to take the 30 dependency of the triggering decision on the absolute vehicle lateral velocity v_y into consideration, either multiple characteristic curves for various values of v_y are stored and extrapolated between the characteristic curves, or a characteristic curve is shifted as a

function of the estimate value of v_y . The horizontal double arrow in Figure 7 indicates that this characteristic curve is shifted as a function of the lateral velocity.

Figure 8 shows a possibility for shifting the characteristic curve. The CSV is ascertained for a vehicle from theoretical calculations or from suitable tests. Below this vehicle lateral

5 velocity, the algorithm blocks triggering. The nodes of the characteristic curve are pairs of values $(v_y, | a_y |)$, the lowest value of v_y normally being equal to the CSV. Velocity values are specified in Figure 8 by way of example. Above a velocity $v_{y \max}$, the triggering threshold is constant. Here $v_{y \max}$ equals 50 km/h. The characteristic curve is shifted in such a way that, at time t_0 of the velocity estimate, the pair of values $(v_y, | a_y |)$ of the characteristic
10 curve agrees with the zero point of the Δv_y axis. That is to say, the triggering threshold at time t_0 results from the pair of values $(v_y, | a_y |)$. The shifting of the characteristic curve can be realized in a microcontroller in the most simple way via the addition of an offset to Δv_y . For illustrative purposes, however, the following will speak of shifting the characteristic curve. Figure 8 shows the projection of an exactly determined velocity. In this case, the
15 triggering behavior passes over into the case of a characteristic curve $| a_y |$ with respect to v_y shown in Figure 6. In general, however, a_y is determined at a higher rate than v_y , which means that there is no continuous measurement of v_y and that the characteristic curve remains constant for the time between two estimates of v_y , while Δv_y is calculated continuously, and the measured value for a_y is compared to the assigned threshold value. Time t_0 of the
20 estimate of v_y determines the start of the integration. As soon as a new value of v_y is available, the characteristic curve will be shifted accordingly and Δv_y is set back to zero. That is to say, t_0 is established anew.

Figure 9 shows a further possibility for shifting the characteristic curve, namely, when the vehicle lateral velocity is not known exactly. In this example, the vehicle lateral velocity is

25 ascertained with a precision of ± 10 km/h. The strategy here is one of a conservative triggering, i.e., in case of an imprecise velocity estimate, the triggering may only be delayed, while a false triggering or a premature triggering must not occur. Thus, if the estimated velocity is 60 km/h, for example, with a precision of ± 10 km/h, then the true velocity will lie between 50 and 70 km/h. The most conservative setting is the one for 50 km/h, and the
30 characteristic curve for 50 km/h is accordingly shifted to $\Delta v_y = 0$. The characteristic curves for an exact velocity of 60 km/h (dashed) and 70 km/h (dotted) are likewise shown in Figure

9. It becomes clear that the setting for 50 km/h is the most conservative one, i.e., for equal values of Δv_y , a triggering will occur at higher values of $|a_y|$.

Of the additional possibilities for varying the characteristic curve, three more will be specified here:

- 5 a) If in addition to the vehicle lateral velocity also its precision is estimated, for example in the form of an upper and lower error interval, then the above-described method can be modified in such a way that in a new estimate the estimated lateral velocity is reduced by the respective current precision, that is, not by a fixed value, and the characteristic curve is shifted by the reducing value. This has the consequence that that the vehicle lateral
10 velocity cannot be overestimated and thus that an exact determination of the vehicle lateral velocity cannot result in a premature or unintended triggering.
- 15 b) Instead of subtracting a quantity, the estimated value of $v_y(t)$ can also be scaled, e.g.
 $v_y^*(t)=CSV+x(v_y(t)-CSV)$ where $0 < x \leq 1$; v_y^* is then the velocity value of the characteristic curve which is shifted to $\Delta v_y = 0$. For the limit value $x=0$, triggering is suppressed completely. For the case of $x=1$, $v_y^*=v_y$, i.e., we again pass over into the case of an exact determination of v_y .
- c) A further possibility is the combination of the cases a) and b).

Abstract

ABSTRACT

A method is provided for generating a triggering decision for a restraint system, in which is distinguished in that method the triggering decision is generated as a function of a conjunction of the vehicle lateral acceleration and a rate of rotation. The vehicle lateral acceleration is additionally subjected to a threshold value decision, the respective threshold value being set as a function of at least one component of the velocity of the vehicle's center of gravity.

(Figure 9)